Role of Atrial Remodeling in Patients with Atrial Fibrillation

Li-Wei Lo, Shih-Ann Chen*

Division of Cardiology, Department of Medicine, Taipei Veterans General Hospital, and Institute of Clinical Medicine and Cardiovascular Research Institute, National Yang-Ming University School of Medicine, Taipei, Taiwan, R.O.C.

Atrial fibrillation (AF) maintenance is promoted by an atrial substrate that is suitable for the initiation and continuation of the re-entering wavelets. During the first week of AF, the atrial substrate is modified by electrical remodeling, with shortening of the atrial refractoriness and slowing of the conduction velocity. Structural remodeling is the so-called "second factor" that facilitates the maintenance of AF in the following months. The ultrastructural changes result in an inhomogeneous conduction and electrical uncoupling, and the enlarged atrium is able to accommodate more circulating wavefronts that stabilize the AF. Reversal of the electrical remodeling develops within 1 week after the restoration of AF to sinus rhythm. However, reverse structural remodeling develops more slowly and may be just partially reversible. The substrate properties during AF recurrences after undergoing catheter ablation are more complex and need to be clarified in future studies. [*J Chin Med* Assoc 2007;70(8):303–309]

Key Words: atrial fibrillation, remodeling, substrate, voltage

Introduction

It is generally believed that atrial premature complexes are one of the triggers that could initiate atrial fibrillation (AF).^{1,2} These triggers mainly reside in the sleeves of the atrial tissue within the pulmonary veins (PVs) or vena caval junctions.¹⁻⁴ The natural history of AF is characterized by a progression from paroxysmal to persistent and then to permanent AF over time. Since the coordinated atrial activity is replaced with disorganized rapid excitations, the possibility of the conversion and maintenance of sinus rhythm decreases as the duration of AF increases.⁵ This pathophysiologic adaptation to fibrillatory conduction has been termed remodeling. The concepts of tachycardia-induced atrial electrical and structural remodeling have since then been investigated. In this review article, we will focus on substrate remodeling from electrophysiologic and anatomic points of view, and examine the possibility of reverse remodeling after the elimination of AF.

Electrical Remodeling of the Atrium During AF

Evidence from animal studies

Wijffels et al postulated the concept of "AF begets AF" to explain why atrial pacing for 2-3 weeks led to sustained AF in healthy goats in 1995.6 Marked shortening of the atrial refractoriness (-45%) and a reversion of the normal rate adaptation of the refractory periods were demonstrated after 24 hours to 2 weeks of electrically maintained AF. Morillo et al also demonstrated a minimal reduction of 15% in the atrial refractory period, together with a decrease in the atrial conduction velocity, after sustained rapid atrial pacing (up to 400 bpm) in a canine model.⁷ There was a significantly shorter AF cycle length in the left atrium compared with the right atrium, especially over the left atrial posterior wall. After 6 weeks of continuous rapid atrial pacing, sustained AF (>15 minutes) was induced in 82% of the dogs. Similar results were also observed by Elvan et al⁸

*Correspondence to: Dr Shih-Ann Chen, Division of Cardiology, Department of Medicine, Taipei Veterans General Hospital, 201, Section 2, Shih-Pai Road, Taipei 112, Taiwan, R.O.C. E-mail: epsachen@ms41.hinet.net • Received: March 1, 2007 • Accepted: July 2, 2007

J Chin Med Assoc • August 2007 • Vol 70 • No 8 © 2007 Elsevier. All rights reserved. and Gaspo et al⁹ in chronic atrial-paced (6 weeks) dogs. Since the wavelength is the product of the refractoriness and conduction velocity, the results lead to a shorter atrial wavelength. It results more easily in the formation of reentry in small regions of intraatrial conduction block and the perpetuation of AF.

Mechanisms of electrical remodeling

The mechanisms for these phenomena are due to ionic remodeling and atrial ultrastructural changes.

- 1. Ionic remodeling: Ca²⁺ enters the cells through L-type Ca^{2+} current (I_{CaL}) with each action potential. Therefore, during AF, rapid atrial rate increases cellular Ca²⁺ loading and threatens cell viability. The cells may respond to the Ca^{2+} load insult by some defense mechanisms to minimize the Ca²⁺ overload.¹⁰ Yue et al provided evidence from chronic paced dogs that the densities of the I_{CaL} and transient outward current (I_{to}) became progressively reduced with the prolongation of rapid atrial pacing; also, the action potential duration (APD) and APD adaptation to the rate were decreased.¹¹ A significant reduction in the Na⁺ current (I_{Na}) density was also reported by Gaspo et al in a dog model.¹² Yue et al further proved that downregulation of the mRNA concentrations of the α lc subunit of the L-type Ca²⁺ channels, Kv4.3, and α subunit of the cardiac Na⁺ channel genes occurred in chronic pacing dogs.13 The decrease in the I_{CaL} seems to be responsible for the shortening of the APD, the reduction in I_{Na} might be contributing to the decrease in the conduction velocity, and the decrease in Ito is considered to result in a loss of the physiologic rate adaptation of the action potential.
- 2. Ultrastructural changes: marked changes in the atrial ultrastructure have been documented by Mary-Rabine et al, where a portion of the atrial myocytes displayed a loss of myofilaments and had clusters of accumulated glycogen and lysosomal degeneration in patients with atrial arrhythmias.¹⁴ Morrillo et al also characterized an increase in the mitochondrial size and number, and disruption in the sarcoplasmic reticulum, in a canine model.⁷ These changes may result in an inhomogeneous conduction and electrical uncoupling, which in turn may facilitate the maintenance of AF.

Clinical studies and voltage mapping

The changes in electrical remodeling are similar in humans. Yu et al showed that in patients with chronic AF, the atrial effective refractory period was significantly shortened, the rate adaptation response became impaired, especially in the distal coronary sinus, and the conduction properties of the atria became depressed.¹⁵ In addition, with the evolving techniques following the development of electroanatomic mapping systems, we are able to evaluate the substrate properties in the human atrium. Voltage mapping studies revealed regions of contiguous reduction in the electrogram voltage representing diseased myocardium.¹⁶ In our laboratory, Higa et al demonstrated that focal atrial tachycardia may arise from low voltage zones (LVZs) or border zones around the LVZ in 79% of atrial tachycardia patients.¹⁷ Lin et al also reported a reduction in mean voltage in patients with atrial tachyarrhythmias as compared to those with atrioventricular nodal reentrant tachycardia.¹⁸ The voltage further became reduced with shorter pacing cycle lengths in patients with atrial flutter and AF. LVZ and scarring were independent predictors of long-term recurrence of AF after PV isolation.¹⁹ The substrate properties of the activation and cycle-length dependent voltage reduction may be related to the development of atrial flutter and AF.

Structural Remodeling of the Atrium During AF

It has been shown that the atrial tissue develops structural abnormalities, which can be identified on gross evaluation (by atrial enlargement) and microscopic examination (by ultrastructural changes) after periods of AF.^{7,20} The ultrastructural and molecular changes (see the descriptions in the previous paragraph) together with atrial stretch are associated with morphologic remodeling in patients with AF. Atrial enlargement due to structural remodeling in patients with AF is also well-established.

AF and left atrial enlargement: a chicken-egg relationship

As early as 1914, it has been proposed that there is a relationship between the atrial tissue mass and AF.²¹ The work by Henry et al led to the observation that AF is rare (3%) when the left atrial dimension is below 44 mm, but is common (54%) when this dimension exceeds 40 mm.²² The data suggest that left atrial size is an important factor in the development of AF. A large clinical trial identified atrial dilatation as an independent risk factor for the development of AF.²³ The risk elevates 1.4 times per 5-mm increase in left atrial size. In addition, from Moe and Abildskov's multiple wavelets hypothesis, a critical number of wandering wavelets is needed for the perpetuation of AF. The enlarged atrium is therefore needed to be able to accommodate more circulating wavefronts, and then stabilizing AF.²⁴

Left atrial enlargement may also act as a consequence of arrhythmia. It is prominent in patients with persistent AF, but is seldom observed in patients with paroxysmal AF.25 In patients with structural heart disease, the diseased left atrium further dilated after the development of AF.²² In patients without structural heart disease (lone AF), Suarez et al found that the left atrial dimension also increased by 15% over the baseline measurement during a mean follow-up of 6.2 vears in a retrospective study.²⁶ Sanfilippo et al followed AF patients with no detectable cardiac disease and reported a significantly increasing left atrial size, from 45 to 64 cm³ within 20 months.²⁷ Increasing left atrial pressure and wall stress with decreasing chamber distensibility might be the mechanisms of the atrial enlargement as a consequence of AF. Moreover, during AF, the loss of the atrial kick component of ventricular filling is known to be approximately 20%. The constant venous return to the left atrium makes the mean atrial pressure increase in order to maintain ventricular filling, and is then followed by atrial enlargement.

Electrical and Structural Remodeling Go Hand in Hand

Both electrical and structural remodeling may involve the genesis of atrial tachyarrhythmias. Boyden et al studied the feline heart with primary myocardial disease.²⁸ Changes in transmembrane action potentials were found in the dilated atria. There were pronounced structural abnormalities, such as interstitial fibrosis, cellular hypertrophy and degeneration, and thickened basement membranes in the diseased atria. The electrical remodeling developed within hours to days, and the structural remodeling was a much slower process.

Electrical remodeling starts on the first day of AF

Electrical remodeling develops more quickly than structural remodeling. As in Wijffels et al's goat model, a reduction in the refractory period was observed after 6 hours of sustained high-rate pacing, and the remodeling was complete within 3–5 days.⁶ Gaspo et al also claimed near-maximal changes in the refractoriness within 7 days in a rapid pacing dog model.⁹ However, the conduction velocity was found to be moderately decreased after 6 weeks of rapid pacing. Goette et al further reported that a reduction in the refractory period occurred during the first 30 minutes of the onset of rapid atrial pacing in dogs.²⁹ Even then, AF could not be perpetuated without an alteration in the atrial structure.

Structural remodeling acts as a second factor for AF maintenance

The time course of the changes in atrial refractoriness did not run parallel with the increase in the persistence of AF in Wijffels et al's study. The AF cycle length reached a steady state within 3–5 days, but it often took an additional 1–2 weeks for AF to become persistent.⁶ Structural remodeling is the so-called "second factor" that plays an important role in the maintenance of AF. Electrical remodeling occurs earlier within days, and structural remodeling is a much slower process, which may continue for several months.

In pacing-induced AF goats, the first sign of ultrastructural changes occurred during the first week of AF with the presentation of a homogeneous chromatin distribution and a decrease in the myocardial protein cardiotin (dedifferentiation). An increased myolysis and glycogen accumulation developed in 8 weeks. After 16 weeks of AF, 42% of the myocytes were affected by myolysis.³⁰ The amount of connective tissue in the atrium did not change after 4 months of AF. Tissue anisotropy may result in inhomogeneous conduction and may be responsible for the slow conduction and reentry which stabilizes AF. However, to the best of our knowledge, no studies to date have looked at the association of AF and left atrial enlargement in a temporal fashion in a large cohort. An enlarged left atrium in AF patients was observed in previous studies 20-24 months after the persistence of AF.^{25–27}

Therefore, both electrical and structural remodeling can create a substrate for AF. The shortening of the action potential results in a smaller intra-atrial circuit, and the enhanced tissue anisotropy generates a local conduction delay. In addition, the larger atrium harbors more wavelets. This positive feedback between the remodeling and AF renders the arrhythmia everlasting.

Complex Atrial Substrate Properties in Patients Receiving Therapy for AF

Catheter ablation of PVs has become an effective treatment for patients with AF after the pioneering work of Haissaguerre et al¹ and Chen et al.² Around 60– 80% of patients can be AF-free without any antiarrhythmic drugs after the PV isolation procedure. It is anticipated that reverse remodeling of the atrial substrate might occur in patients after the restoration of sinus

ble 1. Structural re	modeling of	⁻ the left atrium	and pulmonary	veins after cath	eter ablation of atr	ial fibrillation			
Reference	Patients,	Diagnostic	Arrhythmia	AF duration,	Ablation sites	Follow-up,	AF recurrence,	Atrial/PV re	modeling
(year)	u	tools (n)	type (n)	yr		ош	n (%)	No recurrence group	Recurrence group
Lemola et al ³⁷ (2004)	41	CT (41)	PAF*/PeAF [†] , 25/16	5±3	4 PVs + MI + posterior LA	4 ± 2	3 (7)	LA volume ↓ 15% [§] PV ostial area ↓ 10% [§]	LA volume ↓↑ PV ostial area ↓↑
Beukema et al ⁴² (2005)	105	TTE (105)	PAF/PeAF, 52/53	6.0±5.1/ 7.6±6.0 (PAF/PeAF)	4 PVs±MI± posterior LA±CTI	Q	23 (22)	LAD ↓ 7.4% ⁸ (PAF) ↓ 9.1% ⁸ (PeAF)	LaD ↓↑ (PAF) ↑8.9% [§] (PeAF)
Tsao et al ³⁸ (2005)	45	MRI (45)	PAF, 45		4 PVs	21 ± 11	10 (22)	LA volume	LA volume ↑ 28% [§] PV ostial area ↓↑
Reant et al ⁴¹ (2005)	48	TTE (48)	PAF/CAF [#] , 37/11	6.1±5.6/ 12±8.8 (PAF/PeAF)	4 PVs + CTI ± MI ± LA roof	11	13 (27)	LA area ↓ 19% [*] (PAF), ↓ 24% (CAF)	LA area ↓ 5% (PAF), ↓ 7% (CAF)
Verma et al ³⁹ (2006)	67	CT (26), TEE (41)	PAF/PeAF, 40/27	5.8 ± 5.1	4 PVs + SVC	Q	(0) 0	LA area \downarrow 11 $\%^{\$}$ (CT) LA volume \downarrow 15 $\%^{\$}$ (CT)	N/A
Chang et al ⁴⁰ (2007)	40	MRI (40)	PAF, 40	N/A	4 PVs	20 ± 11	10 (25)	LAA orifice area ↓ 12.5% [§] LAA neck area ↓ 16.8% [§] LAA length ↓ 8.7% [§]	LAA orifice area ↑14.4% LAA neck area ↑17.4% LAA length ↑ 6.0%
AF is defined as recur ardioversion; [‡] CAF inclu cic echocardiography; sin; MI = mitral isthmus illue before catheter ab	rent AF ($\geq 2 \text{ e}_{ }$ ides PeAF anc MRI = magnet $;; LA = left atrilation; \downarrow = dec$	pisodes) that terr 1 permanent AF (<i>i</i> :ic resonance ima 'um; CTI = cavotri rease in the para	ninates spontaneo. AF in which cardiov Iging: TEE = transes cuspid isthmus; SV meter compared to	usly within 7 days; ersion has either fa sophageal echocard °C = superior vena c o value before cathe	PeAf is defined as Al interface or not been atterined or not been atteringraphy, PAF = paro. Iography, PAF = paro. Sava; LAD = left atriur eter ablation; $\downarrow \uparrow = n$	[⊏] that is sustaine mpted); [§] p ≤ 0.0 xysmal atrial fibr n dimension; LA o significant cha.	ed beyond 7 days or 11; $\Pi_p \le 0.05$; $\Pi_p \le 0.05$ illation; $PeAF = persi allation; PeAF = append A = left atrial append to val$	lasting less than 7 days but necess 20. AF = atrial fibrillation; CT = com stent atrial fibrillation; CAF = chroni dage, N/A = not available; \uparrow = increa ue before catheter ablation.	itating pharmacologic or electrical buted tomography; TTE = transtho- c atrial fibrillation; PV = pulmonary ase in the parameter compared to

rhythm. However, recurrence of AF still remains a prevalent issue after PV isolation. The atrial substrate turns into a more complex entity after the therapeutic intervention.

Electrical properties after the therapy for AF

Wijffels et al observed a restoration of all electrophysiologic changes within 1 week after sinus rhythm was restored.⁶ Lee et al further demonstrated the recovery of the shortened atrial refractoriness during 48-hour measurements, and the recovery was slower in the left atrium than in the right atrium or Bachmann's bundle.³¹ In humans, Yu et al identified that the electrical remodeling of the atrium reversed completely within 4 days of the resumption of sinus rhythm.¹⁵ Raitt et al observed a different rate of recovery between the coronary sinus ostium and distal coronary sinus within 1 week after cardioverion.³² The transient dispersion of the refractoriness may increase the risk for early recurrence of AF. Therefore, recurrence of AF occurs mostly within 3–5 days after restoration of sinus rhythm.

However, in patients with recurrence of AF after prior successful PV isolation, the features of the atrial substrate become more complex. Lo et al discovered a progressive remodeling of the atrial substrate with a decreasing left atrial voltage in patients with recurrence of PV-AF.³³ In addition, increasing LVZ areas were also demonstrated. Mesas et al observed lower voltages over the left atrial posterior wall and mitral isthmus during recurrent AF.³⁴ Whether progressive atrial remodeling is the cause or consequence during the recurrence of AF remains obscure, and further investigation is needed.

Reversibility of the atrial structure after the therapy for AF

There are conflicting results regarding the reversibility of the atrial structure. No reverse remodeling of atrial structure was observed 2 weeks after cardioversion of AF in dogs in the study by Everett et al.³⁵ Ausma et al studied goats with restoration to sinus rhythm up to 4 months.³⁶ However, the structural abnormalities were still present 4 months post-AF, although to a lesser extent. The number of myocytes with severe myolysis had normalized 4 months post-AF, whereas myocytes with mild myolysis were still significantly increased. Therefore, the topic of structural changes after recurrent AF becomes more intricate.

Several studies have shown decreases in left atrial volume (-8% to -15%), left atrial appendage orifice area (-12.5%) and PV ostial area (-10%) 6–21 months after successful ablation of AF.^{37–40} However, the structural changes in patients during recurrence exhibited

and Chang et al⁴⁰ showed a 14% increase in the left atrial appendage orifice area during recurrence (21 months after the first ablation procedure). Lemola et al³⁷ and Beukema et al⁴² did not observe any left atrial structural changes during recurrence (4-6 months after the first ablation procedure). A summary is shown in Table 1. The structural remodeling was not consistent with the progressive electrical remodeling in patients with recurrent AF. Since structural remodeling is a slower process than electrical remodeling, it may be the cause of the lack of an immediate response following AF recurrence. The timing of the left atrial image sampling and effect of atrial scarring after catheter ablation may also have an important effect on the inconsistent results of structural remodeling. These issues need to be clarified in future studies. **Future Perspectives** From experimental and clinical studies, it appears that

controversial results in different studies. Reant et al

reported that the left atrial area decreased by 5-7% during the recurrence of AF (11 months after the first

ablation procedure).⁴¹ On the contrary, Tsao et al³⁸

demonstrated a 28% increase in the left atrial volume,

electrical and structural remodeling play important roles in the genesis and maintenance of AF. Understanding the mechanisms and processes of electrical and structural remodeling during AF will make the treatment of AF more efficient. Electrical remodeling develops more rapidly and reverses the process within a short time (3-5 days) after the restoration of sinus rhythm. Structural remodeling acts as a second factor of the perpetuation of AF and alters the substrate in a much slower process. The reversibility of the structural changes also takes at least several months, and may be just partially reversible. During AF recurrence after catheter ablation, the atrial substrate is more complex. The progressive reduction in the left atrial voltage with disconcordant changes in the left atrial size points out the perplexity of the atrial substrate in patients with recurrent AF. Therefore, more research is encouraged to specify this subject.

References

- Haissaguerre M, Jais P, Shah DC, Takahashi A, Hocini M, Quiniou G, Garrigue S, et al. Spontaneous initiation of atrial fibrillation by ectopic beats originating in the pulmonary veins. *N Engl J Med* 1998;339:659–66.
- 2. Chen SA, Hsieh MH, Tai CT, Tsai CF, Prakash VS, Yu WC, Hsu TL, et al. Initiation of atrial fibrillation by ectopic beats

originating from the pulmonary veins. *Circulation* 1999;100: 1879–86.

- Tsai CF, Tai CT, Hsieh MH, Lin WS, Yu WC, Ueng KC, Ding YA, et al. Initiation of atrial fibrillation by ectopic beats originating from superior vena cava: electrophysiological characteristics and results of radiofrequency ablation. *Circulation* 2000;102:67–74.
- Lin WS, Tai CT, Hsieh MH, Tsai CF, Lin YK, Tsao HM, Huang JL, et al. Catheter ablation of paroxysmal atrial fibrillation initiated by non-pulmonary vein ectopy. *Circulation* 2003;107:3176–83.
- Suttorp MJ, Kingma HJ, Jessurun ER, Lie-A-Huen L, van Hemel NM, Lie KI. The value of class IC antiarrhythmic drugs for acute conversion of paroxysmal atrial fibrillation or flutter to sinus rhythm. J Am Coll Cardiol 1990;16:1722–7.
- Wijffels MCEF, Kirchhof CJHJ, Dorland R, Allessie MA. Atrial fibrillation begets atrial fibrillation: a study in awake chronically instrumented goats. *Circulation* 1995;92:1954–68.
- Morillo CA, Klein GJ, Jones DL, Guiraudon CM. Chronic rapid atrial pacing: structural, functional, and electrophysiological characteristics of a new model of sustained atrial fibrillation. *Circulation* 1995;91:1588–95.
- Elvan A, Wylie K, Zipes DP. Pacing-induced chronic atrial fibrillation impairs sinus node function in dogs. *Circulation* 1996;94:2953–60.
- Gaspo R, Bosch RF, Talajic M, Nattel S. Functional mechanisms underlying tachycardia-induced sustained atrial fibrillation in a chronic dog model. *Circulation* 1997;96:4027–35.
- Nattel S. New ideas about atrial fibrillation 50 years on. *Nature* 2002;415:219–26.
- Yue L, Feng J, Gaspo R, Li GR, Wang Z, Nattel S. Ionic remodeling underlying action potential changes in a canine model of atrial fibrillation. *Circ Res* 1997;81:512–25.
- Gaspo R, Bosch RF, Bou-Abboud E, Nattel S. Tachycardiainduced changes in Na⁺ current in a chronic dog model of atrial fibrillation. *Circ Res* 1997;81:1045–52.
- Yue L, Melnyk P, Gaspo R, Wang Z, Nattel S. Molecular mechanisms underlying ionic remodeling in a dog model of atrial fibrillation. *Circ Res* 1999;84:776–84.
- Mary-Rabine L, Albert A, Pham TD, Hordof A, Fenoglio JJ, Malm JR, Rosen MR. The relationship of human atrial cellular electrophysiology to clinical function and ultrastructure. *Circ Res* 1983;52:188–99.
- Yu WC, Lee SH, Tai CT, Tsai CF, Hsieh MH, Chen CC, Ding YA, et al. Reversal of atrial electrical remodeling following cardioversion of long-standing atrial fibrillation in man. *Cardiovasc Res* 1999;42:470–6.
- 16. Kornowski R, Hong MK, Gepstein L, Goldstein S, Ellahham S, Ben-Haim SA, Leon MB. Preliminary animal and clinical experiences using an electromechanical endocardial mapping procedure to distinguish infracted from healthy myocardium. *Circulation* 1998;98:1116–24.
- Higa S, Tai CT, Lin YJ, Liu TY, Lee PC, Huang JL, Hsieh MH, et al. Focal atrial tachycardia: new insight from noncontact mapping and catheter ablation. *Circulation* 2004;109: 84–91.
- Lin YJ, Tai CT, Huang JL, Lee KT, Lee PC, Hsieh MH, Lee SH, et al. Characterization of right atrial substrate in patients with supraventricular tachyarrhythmias. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol* 2005;16:173–80.
- Verma A, Wazni OM, Marrouche NF, Maritin DO, Kilicaslan F, Minor S, Schweikert RA, et al. Pre-existing left atrial scarring in patients undergoing pulmonary vein antrum isolation: an independent predictor of procedural failure. J Am Coll Cardiol 2005;45:285–92.

- Ausma J, Wijffels M, Thone F, Wouters L, Allessie M, Borgers M. Structural changes of atrial myocardium due to sustained atrial fibrillation in the goat. *Circulation* 1997;96:3157–63.
- 21. Garrey WE. The nature of fibrillary contraction of the heart: its relation to tissue mass and form. *Am J Physiol* 1914;33:397–414.
- 22. Henry WL, Morganroth J, Pearlman AS, Clark CE, Redwood DR, Itscoitz SB, Epstein SE. Relation between echocardiographically determined left atrial size and atrial fibrillation. *Circulation* 1976;53:273–9.
- Vaziri SM, Larson MG, Benjamin EJ, Levy D. Echocardiographic predictors of nonrheumatic atrial fibrillation: The Framingham Heart Study. *Circulation* 1994;89:724–30.
- 24. Moe GK, Abildskov JA. Atrial fibrillation as a self-sustained arrhythmia independent of focal discharge. *Am Heart J* 1959; 58:59–70.
- 25. Parkash R, Green MS, Kerr CR, Connolly SJ, Klein GJ, Sheldon R, Talajic M, et al. The association of left atrial size and occurrence of atrial fibrillation: a prospective cohort study from the Canadian registry of atrial fibrillation. *Am Heart J* 2004;148:649–54.
- Suarez GS, Lampert S, Ravid S, Lown B. Changes in left atrial size in patients with lone atrial fibrillation. *Clin Cardiol* 1991; 14:652–6.
- Sanfilippo AJ, Abascal VM, Sheehan M, Oertel LB, Harrigan P, Hughes RA, Weyman AE. Atrial enlargement as a consequence of AF: a prospective echocardiographic study. *Circulation* 1990;82:792–7.
- Boyden PA, Tilley LP, Albala A, Liu SK, Fenoglio JJ Jr, Wit AL. Mechanisms for atrial arrhythmias associated with cardiomyopathy: a study of feline hearts with primary myocardial disease. *Circulation* 1984;69:1036–47.
- Goette A, Honeycutt C, Langberg JJ. Electrical remodeling in atrial fibrillation, time course and mechanisms. *Circulation* 1996;94;2968–74.
- Ausma J, Litjens N, Lenders MH, Duimel H, Mast F, Wouters L, Ramaekers F, et al. Time course of atrial fibrillation-induced cellular structural remodeling in atria of the goat. J Mol Cell Cardiol 2001;33:2083–94.
- Lee SH, Lin FY, Yu WC, Cheng JJ, Kuan P, Hung CR, Chang MS, et al. Regional differences in the recovery course of tachycardia-induced changes of atrial electrophysiological properties. *Circulation* 1999;99:1255–64.
- 32. Raitt MH, Kusumoto W, Giraud G, McAnulty JH. Reversal of electrical remodeling after cardioversion of persistent atrial fibrillation. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol* 2004;15:507–12.
- 33. Lo LW, Tai CT, Lin YJ, Chang SL, Wongcharoen W, Chang SH, Hsieh MH, et al. Progressive remodeling of the atrial substrate: a novel finding from consecutive voltage mapping in patients with recurrence of atrial fibrillation after catheter ablation. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol* 2007;18:258–65.
- 34. Mesas CE, Augello G, Lang CC, Gugliotta F, Vicedomini G, Sora N, De Paola AA, et al. Electroanatomic remodeling of the left atrium in patients undergoing repeat pulmonary vein ablation: mechanistic insights and implication for ablation. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol* 2006;17:1279–85.
- Everett TH, Li H, Mangrum JM, McRury ID, Mitchell MA, Redick JA, Haines DE. Electrical, morphological, and ultrastructural remodeling and reverse remodeling in a canine model of chronic atrial fibrillation. *Circulation* 2000;102:1454–60.
- 36. Ausma J, van der Velden HM, Lenders MH, van Ankeren EP, Jongsma HJ, Ramaekers FC, Borgers M, et al. Reverse structural and gap-junctional remodeling after prolonged atrial fibrillation in the goat. *Circulation* 2003;15:2051–8.
- 37. Lemola K, Sneider M, Desjardins B, Case I, Chugh A, Hall B, Cheung P, et al. Effect of left atrial ablation of atrial fibrillation

on size of the left atrium and pulmonary veins. *Heart Rhythm* 2004;1:576–81.

- 38. Tsao HM, Wu MH, Huang BH, Lee SH, Lee KT, Tai CT, Lin YK, et al. Morphological remodeling of pulmonary veins and left atrium after catheter ablation of atrial fibrillation: insight from long-term follow-up of three-dimensional magnetic resonance imaging. J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol 2005;16:7–12.
- 39. Verma A, Kilicaslan F, Adams JR, Hao S, Beheiry S, Minor S, Ozduran V, et al. Extensive ablation during pulmonary vein antrum isolation has no adverse impact on left atrial function: an echocardiography and cine computer tomography analysis. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol* 2006;17:741–6.
- 40. Chang SH, Tsao HM, Wu MH, Tai CT, Chang SL, Wongcharoen W, Lin YJ, et al. Morphological changes of the left atrial appendage after catheter ablation of atrial fibrillation. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol* 2007;19:47–52.
- 41. Reant P, Lafitte S, Jais P, Serri K, Weerasooriya R, Hocini M, Pillois X, et al. Reverse remodeling of the left cardiac chambers after catheter ablation after 1 year in a series of patients with isolated atrial fibrillation. *Circulation* 2005;112:2896–903.
- 42. Beukema WP, Elvan A, Sie HT, Misier AR, Wellens HJ. Successful radiofrequency ablation in patients with previous atrial fibrillation results in a significant decrease in left atrial size. *Circulation* 2005;112:2089–95.