



Available online at www.sciencedirect.com





Journal of the Chinese Medical Association 79 (2016) 363-367

Original Article

www.jcma-online.com

Detection of *Helicobacter pylori* urease antigen in saliva in patients with different gastric *H. pylori* status

Mounia El Khadir ^{a,b}, Samia Alaoui Boukhris ^a, Dafr-Allah Benajah ^{c,d}, Karima El Rhazi ^e, Sidi Adil Ibrahimi ^{c,d}, Mohamed El Abkari ^{c,d}, Taoufiq Harmouch ^{d,f}, Chakib Nejjari ^e, Mustapha Mahmoud ^g, Mohamed Benlemlih ^b, Bahia Bennani ^{a,b,d,*}

^a Laboratoire de Microbiologie et de Biologie Moléculaire, Equipe Micro-organismes Génomique et Facteurs Oncogènes, Faculté de Médecine et de Pharmacie de Fès (FMPF), Université Sidi Mohammed Ben Abdellah (USMBA), Fez, Morocco

^b Laboratoire de Biotechnologie, Faculté des Sciences Dhar el Mehraz, USMBA, Fez, Morocco

^c Service d'Hépato Gastro-entérologie CHU Hassan II de Fès, Equipe Maladies de l'Appareil Digestif (FMPF), Fez, Morocco

^d Laboratoire de Pathologie Humaine, Biomédecine et Environnement, FMPF, USMBA, Fez, Morocco

^e Laboratoire d'Epidémiologie et de Recherche Clinique, FMPF, USMBA, Fez, Morocco

^f Service d'Anatomie Pathologique CHU Hassan II, Fez, Morocco

^g Service de Bactériologie CHU Hassan II, Fez, Morocco

Received October 28, 2015; accepted January 18, 2016

Abstract

Background: Finding a simple, accurate, and noninvasive diagnosis method is a substantial challenge for the detection of *Helicobacter pylori*. The aim of the present study was to compare the presence of *H. pylori* urease antigen in saliva with the presence of this bacterium in gastric mucosa.

Methods: Saliva samples and gastric biopsies were taken from 153 consenting Moroccan patients. Saliva samples were analyzed using an immunochromatographic test for urease antigen *H. pylori* detection. Thereafter, the gastric biopsies were analyzed by histology and polymerase chain reaction (PCR) to detect this bacterium.

Results: From a total of 153 recruited Moroccan patients, *H. pylori* was detected in 28 (18.30%), 87 (57.24%), and 69 (45.10%) cases by saliva test, histology, and PCR, respectively. A significant association was observed between the presence of *H. pylori* antigen in saliva and age. However, no association was found with sex, *H. pylori* virulence factors, gastric disease outcome, and density of the bacterium on the gastric mucosa. Considering that only 90 patients presented concordant results on *H. pylori* diagnosis (positive or negative) by both histology and PCR, the immunochromatographic test showed very low sensitivity (29.79%) and high specificity (90.70%). Of these two tests, the positive and negative predictive values were 77.78% and 54.17%, respectively. The accuracy of the test for salivary detection of urease antigen *H. pylori* was 58.89%.

Conclusion: This study demonstrated a low detection rate of *H. pylori* antigens in saliva compared with the presence of this bacterium in gastric mucosa, suggesting that saliva cannot be used as a suitable sample for the diagnosis of *H. pylori* in our study population.

Copyright © 2016, the Chinese Medical Association. Published by Elsevier Taiwan LLC. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

Keywords: H. pylori diagnosis; H. pylori urease antigen; PCR-histology; saliva

* Corresponding author. Dr. B. Bennani, Laboratoire de Microbiologie et de Biologie Moléculaire, Faculté de Médecine et de Pharmacie de Fès, BP : 1893, Km 22, Route de Sidi Harazem - Fez, Morocco

E-mail address: bahia.bennani@usmba.ac.ma (B. Bennani).

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jcma.2016.01.017

Conflicts of interest: The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest related to the subject matter or materials discussed in this article.

^{1726-4901/}Copyright © 2016, the Chinese Medical Association. Published by Elsevier Taiwan LLC. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

1. Introduction

Helicobacter pylori infection is a public health problem that is becoming an increasingly troublesome economic and healthcare burden in many countries around the world. This follows from its involvement in varied gastric pathologies such as peptic ulcer, gastric adenocarcinoma, and MALT (mucosaassociated lymphoid tissue) lymphoma. Therefore, the accurate and timely diagnosis of this bacterium remains the first step to address these burdensome problems. After the successful culture of H. pylori in 1982, efforts have been directed to improve the methods of detection of this bacterium. At present, there are many techniques used for the detection of H. pylori bacterium, and these tests fall into two categories: invasive and noninvasive. The invasive methods, which are biopsy-based, include: culture, rapid urease test, polymerase chain reaction (PCR), and histology. All of these tests require an endoscopic procedure, are quite expensive, and can miss *H. pylori* infection if a biopsy sample from one part of the stomach does not contain the bacteria. These methods also require the use of specialized equipment, experienced personnel, and substantial time to obtain the results. Noninvasive tests or indirect techniques do not require an endoscopy, and include: (1) blood tests (which detect antibodies to H. pylori); and (2) a urea breath test that requires very expensive equipment.¹

It has long been speculated that the presence of *H. pylori* has not been limited only to gastric mucus but can also be present in the oral cavity, and for this reason, may affect the outcome of eradication therapy. Therefore, failure to eliminate the organism from the mouth can lead to gastrointestinal reinfection.² Therefore, it will be of manifest interest if we can better identify the oral *H. pylori* infection. The aims of this study were to compare the presence of *H. pylori* urease antigen in saliva, with the presence of bacterium in gastric mucosa, and to determine the possible association between the saliva test results and age, sex, gastric disease outcome, *H. pylori* virulence factors, and density of the bacterium on the gastric mucosa.

2. Methods

2.1. Biopsy sample collection

A total of 153 consenting Moroccan patients between 16 years and 90 years of age, who were attending the gastroenterology department of Hospital University (CHU) Hassan II of Fez, Morocco, and who had undergone endoscopy for the diagnosis of abdominal pain or discomfort were included in this study. Three gastric biopsies were taken from each patient, and two biopsies (one from the antrum and one from the corpus) were fixed in 10% buffer formalin and used for histological examination and *H. pylori* detection. The presence of the bacterium was scored in a semiquantitative approach as 1, 2, and 3 (the grades denoting small, moderate, and large numbers of *H. pylori*, respectively).

The third antral biopsy was directly used for the molecular detection of *H. pylori*, *cagA* status *vacA s*, *vacA m*, and *vacA i* as described in a previous study.^{3,4}

2.2. Saliva samples

A minimum of 1 mL of saliva was collected in a sterile disposal testing container prior to endoscopy, and the test was performed within 5 minutes in patients who either did not eat or drink within the hour preceding the test. These specimens were used to detect H. pylori antigens with the noninvasive "one-step H. pylori saliva antigen" (HPS) test (Ameritek, Everett, WA, USA). After the saliva specimens were collected, the test disk was opened and laid flat on a dry work surface. Then, four drops of saliva and two drops of buffer were added into the test tube, and four drops of mixture (saliva and buffer) were added into the sample well. If the purple color did not move across the "result window" in the center of the disk in approximately 30 seconds, two additional drops of mixture were added into the sample well. Finally, the test results were interpreted at 20-30 minutes. The presence of two color bands ("T" band and "C" band) within the result window, regardless of which band appears first, indicates a positive result, and the presence of only one purple color band indicates a negative result, where the result is considered invalid if no band is visible.

In this study, only samples showing concordant results on histology and PCR were considered. Therefore, a sample was considered positive for *H. pylori* infection when both of these tests were positive, and negative when both histology and PCR results were negative.

To compare the presence of *H. pylori* in saliva and gastric mucosa, two age groups were defined and correlated; Group 1 included patients aged \leq 50 years of age, whereas Group 2 included the elderly patients.

Subsequently, we tested the association of *H. pylori* antigen presence in saliva with age, sex, *H. pylori* virulence factors, gastric disease outcome, and density of the bacterium on the gastric mucosa.

2.3. Statistical methods

The statistical analysis for our study was done using SPSS, version 20 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) software. Chi-square or Fisher's exact tests were applied to establish all statistical associations, and p < 0.05 was considered to be statistically significant. To determine the accuracy of the salivary test, only samples that showed concordant results in PCR and histology were considered for comparison and considered as a reference test, and some qualitative parameters were statistically calculated: sensitivity (ability to detect positive cases) = true positive/ (true positive + false negative); specificity (ability to exclude negative cases) = true negative/(true negative + false positive); positive predictive value (PPV) = percent of true positives to all positive cases; negative predictive value (NPV) = percent of true negative extent to all negative cases; and accuracy (E) = (truepositive + true negative)/(true positive + true negative + false positive + false negative).

2.4. Informed consent

All participants were informed about the study objectives, methods, confidentiality, and potential outcomes, and they

provided written consent for their participation. This study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of the Hassan II University Hospital of Fez, Fez Morocco.

3. Results

Saliva and biopsy specimens were obtained from 153 consenting patients for the purposes of this study. There were 85 (55.56%) men and 68 (44.44%) women, with an average age of 49 years (range, 16–90 years). Of these 153 patients, 101 (67.79%) had chronic gastritis, 36 (24.16%) had gastroduodenal ulcer, and 12 (8.05%) were diagnosed with gastric cancer. For each patient, *H. pylori* detection was done using saliva test, histopathology, and PCR. *H. pylori* was detected in 28 (18.30%), 87 (57.24%), and 69 (45.10%) tested patients by the saliva test, its histology, and PCR, respectively. The saliva test results were correlated to age and sex. This analysis indicated a higher rate of *H. pylori* antigen detected in Group 1 (\leq 50 years) compared with Group 2 (p = 0.007). No association was found between the rate of *H. pylori* detection in saliva and sex (Table 1).

Using the 90 *H. pylori*-positive and *H. pylori*-negative (by concordance of histology and PCR) results, *H. pylori* urease antigen was detected only in 14 (29.79%) cases in the saliva of gastric *H. pylori*-positive patients and negative in 39 (90.70%) cases with no gastric *H. pylori* infection (Table 2). The *H. pylori* detection results obtained via saliva test and PCR—histology according to age groups showed that the antigen was higher in younger (46.2%) than in older (8.3%) gastric *H. pylori*-positive patients (Table 3).

The *H. pylori* genotypes were determined using PCR. When the *cag A* status of *H. pylori* was determined, it was positive in 19 (40.43%) of 47 cases. However, the *vacA* gene was detected and characterized in 43 (91.49%) of 47 cases. The most dominant genotype in this series was *vacA* s2m2i2, with a rate of 31.91% (n = 15). No association was detected between saliva test detection and *cagA* or *vacA H. pylori* genotypes.

The rate of *H. pylori* detection by use of the saliva test did not show any correlation, nor was there any correlation with the pathological profile or with the density of *H. pylori* on the gastric mucosa.

To assess the accuracy of the salivary test, some qualitative parameters were first statistically calculated by taking into consideration the entire population, and then according to age groups, such as sensitivity, specificity, positive and negative predictive values, and accuracy. The concordant results of PCR and histology were used as reference. The values

Table 1	
Correlation of Helicobacter pylori with age and	sex.

	Saliva test			
	Positive (%)	Negative (%)	п	р
Age group 1 (\leq 50 y)	20 (26.7)	55 (73.3)	75	0.007
Age group 2 (51–90 y)	8 (10.3)	70 (89.7)	78	
Male	16 (18.82)	69 (81.18)	85	0.85
Female	12 (17.65)	56 (82.35)	68	

Table 2

Comparison of saliva test with polymerase chain reaction (PCR)-histology results on *Helicobacter pylori* detection.

		Saliva test		
		Positive (%)	Negative (%)	Total
Histology and PCR	Positive Negative Total	14 (29.79) 4 (9.30) 18 (20)	33 (70.21) 39 (90.70) 72 (80)	47 (52.22) 43 (47.78) 90

Table 3

Comparison of saliva test and polymerase chain reaction (PCR)-histology results for *Helicobacter pylori* detection according to the age.

		Histology and PCR		
		Positive (%)	Negative (%)	
Age group 1	Positive	12 (46.2)	2 (11.8)	
	Negative	14 (53.8)	15 (88.2)	
Age group 2	Positive	2 (8.3)	2 (8.7)	
	Negative	22 (91.7)	21 (91.3)	

obtained for each parameter are reported in Table 4 and shows very low sensitivity in the studied population, especially in older patients compared with younger patients.

4. Discussion

Different methods were developed for the noninvasive detection of *H. pylori*; some of them were based on immunological techniques and used whole blood, serum, urine, stool, or saliva as specimens.^{5–8} *H. pylori* has been detected in dental plaques and saliva by PCR, culture, and rapid urease test.

The presence of the bacterium in the oral cavity was reported in 1989.⁹ Nevertheless, it is unclear whether the oral cavity is a permanent or transient reservoir.^{1,10,11} A number of recent publications have demonstrated that the human oral cavity is an excellent microaerophilic environment, and thus a potential reservoir for *H. pylori*.^{12–15} However, other studies have shown that the oral cavity is most likely a transitory reservoir for *H. pylori* via regurgitation or vomiting.^{16–18} The use of saliva or dental plaque as samples makes the diagnosis noninvasive, and several authors consider that it can provide a global picture of *H. pylori* in the stomach.¹⁹ This is in contrast with results involving invasive tests that explore only a small portion of the gastric mucosal surface²⁰ and are subject to sampling error.²¹ Nevertheless, the use of these specimens for the diagnosis is still extensively discussed.^{9,15,17,22,23}

Table 4	
Saliva test performance characteristics.	

	Histology and PCR (%)				
	Sensitivity	Specificity	PPV	NPV	Ε
Saliva test (all population)	29.79	90.7	77.78	54.17	58.89
Age group 1	46.15	88.24	85.71	51.72	62.79
Age group 2	8.33	91.3	50	48.84	48.93

E = accuracy; PCR = polymerase chain reaction; NPV = Negative predictive value; PPV = Positive predictive value.

To our knowledge, the immunochromatographic test for the detection of urease antigen was performed on children's stool and urine, and showed a good performance with a high sensitivity and specificity.^{24,25} However, there are several studies that used it on saliva.

The aim of the present study was to compare the presence of *H. pylori* in the stomach and in the saliva of Moroccan patients.

The use of concordant results of PCR and histology to determine the *H. pylori* status in gastric biopsy was chosen to increase the reliability and the accuracy of diagnosis. However, discordant results were obtained when comparing salivary *H. pylori* antigen and the invasive tests (histology and PCR). The results of this study showed a low *H. pylori* urease antigen detection rate in saliva (20% *H. pylori* positives) compared with the reference examination in gastric mucosa (52.2% *H. pylori* positives). This can be attributed to

- (1) The low sensitivity (29.79%) of the used test, which may be related to the possible low affinities of the used monoclonal antibodies of *H. pylori* antigen
- (2) The low inoculums concentration of *H. pylori* in the mouth, which may be explained by the hypotheses reported in other studies:
 - (a) Ability of oral normal flora to affect the *H. pylori* growth by producing bacteriocin-like inhibitory proteins against *H. pylori* strains
 - (b) Effect of yeast that protects *H. pylori* from the stressful conditions in the mouth and carries it to the human gastrointestinal tract
 - (c) The short life of *H. pylori* in the oral cavity following the high oxygen concentration in this location 15,26

However, evaluation of the saliva test is impractical because the presence of *H. pylori* in saliva is not confirmed and remains unclear, because studies evaluating the presence of this bacterium in saliva or oral cavity showed conflicting data. Also, there is no gold standard applicable in these milieu, and despite the use of PCR or nested PCR in some studies the detection of this bacterium is rare and ranges from 0% to 1.9%.^{27–29}

Nevertheless, a high rate of positive HPS was found in the studies of Yee et al,¹ Song and Li,³⁰ and Yang et al.³¹ These studies were conducted on Asian populations (Taiwanese, Chinese). This population focus helped to underscore the fact that: (1) the high genetic diversity of the bacterium observed between different geographical areas can account for the different behavior of the bacteria toward the environment in which it is located; and (2) the detection of *H. pylori* urease antigen can be age-dependent because all of these studies have considered the entire population. Overall, a precise comparison with other studies remains difficult, because the studied population and the reference tests used were different.

The detection of *H. pylori* using the saliva test in cases with negative results by both histological examination and PCR can be explained by: (1) the presence of the *H. pylori* antigen in the

mouth even if the bacterium is not present^{1,17}; and (2) the detection of urease antigen of other species of the *Helicobacter* genus (*Helicobacter felis* or *Helicobacter heilmannii*). Effectively, *H. felis* and *H. pylori ure* gene products showed a high degree of conservation,³² and some epitopes were conserved among the urease of various gastric *Helicobacter* spp.³³

In this study, considering that the saliva flow decreases at age 50 years and older, 34,35 and this may influence the *H*. pylori growth and thus the detection rate in this milieu, we determined the rate of bacterium detection in saliva according to patient age. The results showed a significant association between the rate of *H. pylori* antigen detection in saliva and age. A high rate was obtained in younger patients (\leq 50 years old; p = 0.007). This finding was confirmed when comparing the saliva test and the concordant results of PCR-histology according to age. We conclude that the antigen is more detectable in younger (46.2%) compared with older (8.3%) gastric H. pylori-positive patients. In spite of the small size of the studied samples, our data do not support the idea of Sreebny,³⁵ who hypothesized that the low salivary secretion rates, related to advanced age, create favorable conditions for the growth of bacteria, including H. pylori.

In conclusion, this study demonstrated a low detection of *H. pylori* in saliva compared with the gastric mucosa, suggesting that saliva cannot be considered as a reservoir for *H. pylori*. Therefore, measuring the extent of *H. pylori* in saliva will not facilitate an accurate diagnosis of this bacterium in our study population.

Acknowledgments

We thank the staff of the Endoscopy Unit and Anatomopathology Department, Endoscopy Unit of University Hospital for their help in the collection and preparation of specimens. We also express our deep gratitude to the University Hospital Hassan II of Fez, and to the Faculty of Medicine and Pharmacy of Fez, Fez, Morocco, for the financial support of this study.

References

- KCh Yee, Wei MH, HCh Yee, Everett KD, Yee HP, Hazeki-Talor N. A screening trial of *Helicobacter pylori*-specific antigen tests in saliva to identify an oral infection. *Digestion* 2013;87:163–9.
- Vahedi M, Khalilian AR, Abdollahzadeh SH, Shafiei N. Saliva secretion and efficacy of *Helicobacter pylori* eradication in peptic ulcer patients. *J Dent (Tehran)* 2011;8:165–9.
- Alaoui Boukhris S, Benajah DA, El Rhazi K, Ibrahimi SA, Nejjari C, Amarti A, et al. Prevalence and distribution of *Helicobacter pylori* cagA and vacA genotypes in the Moroccan population with gastric disease. *Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis* 2011;31:1775–81.
- Rhead JL, Letley DP, Mohammadi M, Hussein N, Mohagheghi MA, Eshagh Hosseini M, et al. A new *Helicobacter pylori* vacuolating cytotoxin determinant, the intermediate region, is associated with gastric cancer. *Gastroenterology* 2007;133:926–36.
- Graham DY, Reddy S. Rapid detection of anti-*Helicobacter pylori* IgG in urine using immunochromatography. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* 2001;15: 699–702.
- Laheij RJF, Straatman H, Jansen JBMJ, Verbeek ALM. Evaluation of commercially available *Helicobacter pylori* serology kits: a review. *J Clin Microbiol* 1998;36:2803–9.

- Vaira D, Holton J, Menegatti M, Ricci C, Landi F, Ali A, et al. New immunological assays for the diagnosis of *Helicobacter pylori* infection. *Gut* 1999;45:23-7.
- Best LM, Veldhuyzen van Zanten SJ, Bezanson GS, Haldane DJ, Malatjalian DA. Serological detection of *Helicobacter pylori* by a flow microsphere immunofluorescence assay. J Clin Microbiol 1992;30:2311–7.
- Krajden S, Fuksa M, Anderson J, Kempston J, Boccia A, Petrea C, et al. Examination of human stomach biopsies, saliva, and dental plaque for *Campylobacter pylori*. J Clin Microbiol 1989;27:1397–8.
- Cockburn M, Collett J, Cox B. Validation of the saliva-based H. pylori test, HeliSALTM, and its use in prevalence surveys. *Epidemiol Infect* 2001;126:191-6.
- Loster BW, Majewski SW, Cześnikiewicz-Guzik M, Bielanski W, Pierzchalski P, Konturek SJ. The relationship between the presence of *Helicobacter pylori* in the oral cavity and gastric in the stomach. J Physiol Pharmacol 2006;57:91–100.
- Namiot DB, Leszczyńska K, Namiot Z, Chilewicz M, Bucki R, Kemona A. The occurrence of *Helicobacter pylori* antigens in dental plaque; an association with oral health status and oral hygiene practices. *Adv Med Sci* 2010;55:167–71.
- Al Sayed A, Anand PS, Kamath KP, Patil S, Preethanath RS, Anil S. Oral cavity as an extragastric reservoir of *Helicobacter pylori*. *ISRN Gastroenterol* 2014;2014:16, 261369. http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2014/261369.
- Burgers R, Schneider-Brachert W, Reischl U, Behr A, Hiller KA, Lehn N, et al. *Helicobacter pylori* in human oral cavity and stomach. *Eur J Oral Sci* 2008;116:297–304.
- Momtaz H, Souod N, Dabiri H, Sarshar M. Study of *Helicobacter pylori* genotype status in saliva, dental plaques, stool and gastric biopsy samples. *World J Gastroenterol* 2012;18:2105–11.
- 16. Silva DG, Stevens RH, Macedo JM, Albano RM, Falabella ME, Veerman EC, et al. Detection of cytotoxin genotypes of *Helicobacter pylori* in stomach, saliva and dental plaque. *Arch Oral Biol* 2009;54: 684–8.
- Eskandari A, Mahmoudpour A, Abolfazli N, Lafzi A. Detection of *Helicobacter pylori* using PCR in dental plaque of patients with and without gastritis. *Med Oral Patol Oral Cir Bucal* 2010;15:28–31.
- Kabir S. Review article: clinic-based testing for *Helicobacter pylori* infection by enzyme immunoassay of faeces, urine and saliva. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* 2003;17:1345–54.
- 19. Karnes Jr WE, Samloff IM, Siurala M, Kekki M, Sipponen P, Kim SW, et al. Positive serum antibody and negative tissue staining for *Helicobacter pylori* in subjects with atrophic body gastritis. *Gastroenterol* 1991;101:167–74.
- Cox AJ. Stomach size and its relation to chronic peptic ulcer. AMA Arch Pathol 1952;54:407–22.

- Bayerdorffer E, Oertel H, Lehn N, Kasper G, Mannes GA, Sauerbruch T, et al. Topographic association between active gastritis and *Campylobacter pylori* colonisation. J Clin Pathol 1989;42:834–9.
- 22. Ghasemian Safaei H, Fazeli A, Tamizifar H, Rashidi N. Comparison of salivary anti *Helicobacter pylori* IgG with serum IgG and bacteriological tests in detecting *Helicobacter pylori* infections. *J Res Med Sci* 2005;10: 1–5.
- Tiwari SK, Khan AA, Ahmed KS, Ahmed I, Kauser F, Hussain MA, et al. Rapid diagnosis of *Helicobacter pylori* infection in dyspeptic patients using salivary secretion: a non-invasive approach. *Singapore Med J* 2005; 46:224–8.
- Shimoyama T. Stool antigen tests for the management of *Helicobacter* pylori infection. World J Gastroenterol 2013;19:8188–91.
- 25. Wong WM, Wong BCY, Xia HHX, Tang VSY, Lai KC, Hu WHC, et al. An evaluation of a rapid urine test for the diagnosis of *Helicobacter pylori* infection in the Chinese population. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* 2002;16: 813–7.
- Salmanian AH, Siavoshi F, Akbari F, Afshari A, Malekzadeh R. Yeast of the oral cavity is the reservoir of *Heliobacter pylori*. J Oral Pathol Med 2008;37:324–8.
- Veiga N, Pereira C, Resende C, Amaral O, Ferreira M, Nelas P, et al. Oral and gastric *Helicobacter pylori*: effects and associations. *PLoS One* 2015; 10:e0126923.
- Ogaya Y, Nomura R, Watanabe Y, Nakano K. Detection of *Helicobacter* pylori DNA in inflamed dental pulp specimens from Japanese children and adolescents. J Med Microbiol 2015;64:117–23.
- Zaric S, Bojic B, Popovic B, Milasin J. Eradication of gastric *Heli-cobacter pylori* ameliorates halitosis and tongue coating. *J Contemp Dent Pract* 2015;16:205–9.
- Song HY, Li Y. Can eradication rate of gastric *Helicobacter pylori* be improved by killing oral *Helicobacter pylori? World J Gastroenterol* 2013;19:6645-50.
- Yang BL, Yeh C, Wg Kwong, Lee SD. A novel one-step *Helicobacter* pylori saliva antigen test. J Chin Med Assoc 2015;78:96–100.
- Labigne A, Cussac V, Courcoux P. Shuttle cloning and nucleotide sequences of *Helicobacter pylori* genes responsible for urease activity. *J Bacteriol* 1991;173:1920–31.
- Ferrero RL, Labigne A. Cloning, expression and sequencing of *Helicobacter felis* urease genes. *Mol Microbiol* 1993;9:323–33.
- Billings RJ, Proskin HM, Moss ME. Xerostomia and associated factors in a community-dwelling adult population. *Community Dent Oral Epidemiol* 1996;24:312-6.
- Sreebny LM. Saliva in health and disease: appraisal and update. Int Dent J 2000;50:140–61.