



# The role of N-terminal pro-B-type natriuretic peptide in prognostic evaluation of heart failure

Carolyn S.P. Lam<sup>a,b,c</sup>, Yi-Heng Li<sup>d,\*</sup>, Antoni Bayes-Genis<sup>e</sup>, Aekarach Ariyachaipanich<sup>f</sup>, Do Quang Huan<sup>g</sup>, Naoki Sato<sup>h</sup>, Pravin Kahale<sup>i</sup>, Ta Manh Cuong<sup>j</sup>, Yugang Dong<sup>k</sup>, Xinli Li<sup>l</sup>, Yanli Zhou<sup>l</sup>

<sup>a</sup>National Heart Centre Singapore and Duke-National University of Singapore, Singapore; <sup>b</sup>University Medical Centre Groningen, Groningen, The Netherlands; <sup>c</sup>The George Institute for Global Health, Newtown New South Wales, Australia; <sup>d</sup>National Cheng Kung University Hospital, College of Medicine, National Cheng Kung University, Tainan, Taiwan, ROC; <sup>e</sup>Germans Trias i Pujol University Hospital, CIBERCV, Barcelona, Spain; <sup>f</sup>Chulalongkorn University, Bangkok, Thailand; <sup>g</sup>Heart Institute of Ho Chi Minh City, Ho Chi Minh City, Vietnam; <sup>h</sup>Nippon Medical School Musashi-Kosugi Hospital, Kanagawa, Japan; <sup>i</sup>Kokilaben Dhirubhai Ambani Hospital, Mumbai, India; <sup>j</sup>Vietnam Heart Institute, Bach Mai Hospital, Hanoi, Vietnam; <sup>k</sup>Sun Yat-Sen University, Guangzhou, China; <sup>l</sup>Jiangsu Province Hospital, Nanjing, China

**Abstract:** Heart failure (HF) is a growing challenge in the Asia Pacific region. N-terminal pro-B-type natriuretic peptide (NT-proBNP) is a well-established tool for diagnosis of HF; however, it is relatively underutilized in predicting adverse outcomes in HF. Multiple studies have demonstrated the prognostic role of NT-proBNP in HF. A single value of NT-proBNP >5000 pg/mL predicts a worse outcome in hospitalized patients with HF with reduced ejection fraction (HFrEF). In stable outpatients with HFrEF, NT-proBNP > 1000 pg/mL predicts a poorer prognosis. NT-proBNP provides the same prognostic information in patients with HF with preserved ejection fraction (HFpEF) as in those with HFrEF. An expert panel composed of cardiologists mainly from Asia Pacific region was convened to discuss the utility of NT-proBNP in HF prognostication. This article summarizes available scientific evidence and consensus recommendations from the meeting.

**Keywords:** Heart failure; Natriuretic peptide; Prognosis

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Heart failure (HF) is a chronic disabling disease affecting approximately 26 million people globally.<sup>1</sup> The prevalence of HF in Asia Pacific is similar to global estimates ranging from 1.26% to 6.7% in the region.<sup>2</sup> However, substantial gaps remain with regards to information on HF in Asian populations. Emerging evidence indicates that ethnic variations may be present, with analyses of patient registries showing that Asian patients tend to present with initial HF symptoms at a younger age than patients in Western countries.<sup>3-5</sup> HF presents a high economic burden with lengthy and repeated hospitalizations accounting for the majority of healthcare expenditure.<sup>1</sup> As in Western countries, hospitalization for HF appears to be increasing in Asia Pacific region.<sup>6,7</sup> The cost of hospitalization varies substantially within the region, ranging from 813 US dollars in Indonesia to almost 9000 US dollars in South Korea.<sup>8</sup> Identifying HF patients at high risk of morbidity and mortality based on the predicted prognosis may result in significant cost savings, as well as optimization of treatment, prevention of disease progression, and prolongation

of survival.<sup>9</sup> Natriuretic peptide (NP), including B-type natriuretic peptide (BNP) and N-terminal pro-B-type natriuretic peptide (NT-proBNP), has been shown to be an effective biomarker not only for diagnosis but also for prognostic evaluation both for patients with HF with reduced ejection fraction (HFrEF) and HF with preserved ejection fraction (HFpEF).<sup>10-16</sup> They also have been used as screening tools for prevention of cardiovascular (CV) events in high-risk patients.<sup>17,18</sup> BNP release is regulated at the level of gene expression, and synthesized in bursts as pre-pro-BNP, which is processed intracellularly to pro-BNP1-108, then cleaved and secreted as a biologically inactive N-terminal fragment N-BNP1-76 (designated NT-proBNP) (plasma half-life ~70 minutes) and the biologically active, 32-amino-acid ring structure BNP77-108 (plasma half-life 22 minutes).<sup>19-22</sup> Both BNP and NT-proBNP are released in a 1:1 ratio in the peripheral circulation. The predominant stimulus for synthesis and release of BNP from the atria and ventricles is thought to be wall stretch. Bioactive BNP77-108 binds to the NP A receptor, stimulating production of the intracellular second messenger cGMP via particulate guanylyl cyclase, and causes beneficial effects in HF (natriuretic, vasodilating, antifibrotic, antihypertrophic, lusitropic, cytoprotective effects).<sup>19-22</sup> Despite these beneficial effects and high circulating levels of BNP fragments in decompensated HF, patients display fluid and salt retention, indicating that HF is a NP-deficient state. Several BNP assays have been developed as previously reviewed.<sup>19,23</sup> This article will focus on NT-proBNP as the most widely used assay currently.

Although NP testing has been widely adopted as a tool for diagnosing HF in the emergency room, its use still varies considerably across the region and there is continued controversy regarding whether age- or ethnicity-specific diagnostic cutoffs

\*Address correspondence: Dr. Yi-Heng Li, Division of Cardiology, Department of Internal Medicine, National Cheng Kung University Hospital, 138, Sheng Li Road, Tainan 704, Taiwan, ROC. E-mail address: heng@mail.ncku.edu.tw (Y.-H. Li).

Conflicts of interest: The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest related to the subject matter or materials discussed in this article.

Journal of Chinese Medical Association. (2019) 82: 447-451.

Received January 2, 2019; accepted January 27, 2019.

doi: 10.1097/JCMA.000000000000102.

Copyright © 2019, the Chinese Medical Association. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

are required. The ADHERE-AP registry, which includes 10 171 HF patients from eight Asia Pacific countries, found that BNP and NT-proBNP levels were assessed in only 7.8% and 8.5% of cases, respectively.<sup>5</sup> In contrast, some national registries have reported greater use of NP for diagnosis, with NP levels assessed in 76.6% of hospitalized HF patients in South Korea, and BNP and NT-proBNP assessed in 22.7% and 32.4% of patients, respectively, in Taiwan.<sup>24,25</sup> Prognostic evaluation of HF patients using NP levels is currently recommended by major international guidelines in Europe and the USA.<sup>26,27</sup> The 2017 American College of Cardiology (ACC)/American Heart Association (AHA) HF guideline recommends to measure BNP or NT-proBNP levels for establishing prognosis or disease severity in chronic HF.<sup>27</sup> However, there is a lack of consensus on how prognostic information from NT-proBNP can be applied to patient management. A consensus meeting of expert panel was held in Singapore on July 12, 2017 with the aim of developing consensus to help clinicians in the region on the use of NT-proBNP as a risk predictor for HF patients in different clinical settings. During the meeting, the panel reviewed the current literature on the prognostic value of NT-proBNP. Based on the scientific evidence and clinical experience of the panel, recommendations were proposed and discussed until agreed upon by all of the panel members. Prognostic cutoffs are recommended acknowledging that prognostic risk is likely to be linear rather than dichotomous. The recommendations and their scientific evidences were provided in this article.

## 2. ACUTE HOSPITALIZED HF PATIENTS

The 2017 ACC/AHA HF guideline gives a strong recommendation for the measurement of NP levels to establish prognosis or disease severity in patients with chronic HF.<sup>27</sup> This recommendation is supported by multiple clinical studies demonstrating the value of NT-proBNP for assessing the risk of death or CV events in these patients. Pascual-Figal et al<sup>28</sup> conducted a single-center, prospective study of patients admitted to the emergency department with shortness of breath. Among the patients who were diagnosed with HF, NT-proBNP levels were found to be significantly higher in the 9% of patients who died during hospitalization. The optimal cut-off value of NT-proBNP for predicting death was 5500 pg/mL with an accuracy 77%, sensitivity 100%, positive predictive value (PPV) 29%, and negative predictive value (NPV) 100%.<sup>28</sup> In a pooled analysis of 1256 patients with acute HF from three clinical trials, Januzzi et al<sup>10</sup> found the optimal cut-off point for predicting 76-day mortality was 5180 pg/mL (sensitivity, 68%; specificity, 72%; PPV, 19%; NPV, 96%). In 2014, Salah et al reported the results of a pooled analysis of seven prospective cohorts of patients hospitalized for clinically validated, acute decompensated HF (n = 1301), who were discharged alive and their NT-proBNP levels were measured at admission and discharge. The study found the higher the NT-proBNP value at discharge, the worse the prognosis. For patients with NT-proBNP levels between 5001 and 15 000 pg/mL at discharge, 24% had died at 180 days after discharge; more than double that of patients whose discharge levels were between 1500 and 5000 pg/mL.<sup>29</sup>

Regarding timing of assessment of NT-proBNP levels for prognosis during hospitalization, the 2017 ACC/AHA guideline gave a strong recommendation to measure NP levels at admission. There is also a recommendation to repeat the evaluation pre-discharge, as the relative change in NP levels after hospital treatment can also predict the risk of mortality or rehospitalization for HF.<sup>27,29-31</sup> Salah et al demonstrated that a reduction in NT-proBNP levels of  $\geq 30\%$  between admission and discharge resulted in a 180-day all-cause mortality rate more than half that of those with a reduction of  $< 30\%$ .<sup>29</sup> These results were

further echoed in a systematic review, which included nine studies in HFrEF patients examining thresholds for percentage change in NT-proBNP, the majority of which used a threshold of 30% or less between admission and discharge. All of these studies found that patients who achieved the threshold percentage change in NT-proBNP before discharge had a reduced risk of the composite outcomes of mortality and readmission.<sup>31</sup> Based on the above evidences, the following recommendations were proposed:

1. A single value of NT-proBNP  $> 5000$  pg/mL for hospitalized patients with HFrEF predicts a greater risk of mortality and poor outcomes. The higher the NT-proBNP concentration, the poorer the prognosis.
2. NT-proBNP measurements may be considered for hospitalized patients with HFrEF upon admission and pre-discharge, with the baseline NT-proBNP levels measured as soon as possible (at least in the first 24 hours of hospitalization for acute HF patients).
3. A reduction of NT-proBNP levels  $\geq 30\%$  between admission and discharge predicts a better clinical outcome.
4. The level of NT-proBNP and the reduction of NT-proBNP should not be used as the only criterion to determine admission and discharge of patients. NT-proBNP provides additional information to support clinical decision-making at admission, triage, and discharge.

## 3. PATIENTS WITH CHRONIC HFREF

In HFrEF patients with worsening HF symptoms but not requiring emergency hospital admission, the relative change of NT-proBNP levels over time can more effectively predict prognosis than clinical evaluation only in outpatient clinics. This was shown in a study of 71 outpatients with recently destabilized HF, wherein changes of NT-proBNP levels at week 2, week 3, week 4, and 6 months from baseline were predictive of CV death and/or HF hospitalization during follow-up, while changes in clinical disease severity scores were not predictive of subsequent events.<sup>32</sup>

NT-proBNP assessment is also a useful prognostic tool in the outpatient setting for stable HF patients.<sup>33,34</sup> The Valsartan Heart Failure Trial (Val-HeFT) included patients with stable HFrEF (n = 5100) to be treated with valsartan or placebo and the NT-proBNP levels were measured at study entry and at 4 months.<sup>33</sup> Patients were classified into four groups according to their NT-proBNP levels changes at the two time points (ie, high to high, high to low, low to high, and low to low), with a threshold of NT-proBNP  $< 1078$  pg/mL used for the low category and  $\geq 1078$  pg/mL for the high category. The study found that HFrEF patients with NT-proBNP values  $< 1078$  pg/mL after treatment (high to low and low to low) had a better prognosis than those with higher values.<sup>33</sup> Patients in the high-to-low group had comparable all-cause mortality outcomes to patients in the low-to-low group (7.2% and 8.9%, respectively), while patients who maintained high levels of NT-proBNP at month 4 (high to high) had similar increased mortality to those who had worsening NT-proBNP levels (low to high) at month 4 (25.7% and 21.1%, respectively).<sup>33</sup> The Prospective Comparison of ARNI with ACEI to Determine Impact on Global Mortality and Morbidity in Heart Failure (PARADIGM-HF) study showed similar results to that of the Val-HeFT study. The PARADIGM-HF study included stable HFrEF patients and treated with either angiotensin-receptor-neprilysin inhibitors (ARNI) or angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors (ACEI).<sup>34</sup> Patients with NT-proBNP levels  $\leq 1000$  pg/mL after 1 month of treatment had 59% lower risk of CV death or HF hospitalization at 3 years follow-up compared with those with NT-proBNP levels  $> 1000$  pg/mL at the same timepoint.<sup>34</sup> Concentrations of both BNP and NT-proBNP often decline in

response to HF treatment.<sup>33,35–38</sup> In the PARADIGM-HF study, both BNP and NT-proBNP levels decreased after treatment with ACEI. However, only NT-proBNP levels were significantly reduced after treatment with ARNI and no significant reduction in BNP levels.<sup>34</sup> This difference is attributed to the neprilysin inhibitor component of ARNI, which prevents degradation of BNP by neprilysin; while it has no effect on NT-proBNP.<sup>39</sup> This indicates that NT-proBNP is a more suitable biomarker than BNP for evaluating prognosis and monitoring treatment in HF patients treated with ARNI. Based on the above evidences, the following recommendations were proposed:

1. A baseline value of NT-proBNP is useful for HFrEF patient in outpatient settings.
2. A NT-proBNP value >1000 pg/mL indicates an increased risk of death or hospitalization; the higher the NT-proBNP level, the greater risk of worse outcomes.
3. NT-proBNP levels may be measured again after treatment. If NT-proBNP level remains  $\geq$  1000 pg/mL, this indicates greater risk of poor outcomes.
4. In patients with NT-proBNP level remains  $\geq$ 1000 pg/mL after treatment, the medications for HF should be optimized or patients should be referred to HF specialists to intensify the treatment.
5. In HF patients treated with ARNI, NT-proBNP is the preferred NP biomarker for prognostic testing.

#### 4. PATIENTS WITH HFPEF

A prospective multicenter longitudinal study in New Zealand and Singapore was conducted to compare the differences in epidemiology and outcomes between patients with HFrEF and HFpEF.<sup>40</sup> The study found that risk of all-cause mortality was lower in the HFpEF group than in the HFrEF group; however, when the risk was adjusted for NT-proBNP, the difference in mortality was no longer apparent.<sup>40</sup> In other words, increased levels of NT-proBNP portended the same increased risk of mortality in patients with both HFpEF and HFrEF. While clinicians may anticipate better outcomes overall in their HFpEF patients compared with HFrEF patients, a high or rising NT-proBNP level should indicate a greater risk of worse outcomes and prompt investigation for the cause of this, such as hemodynamic status, renal impairment, atrial fibrillation, or ongoing ischemia. These findings are applicable in both Asian and Western settings. Additionally, a prospective patient registry study in South Korea found that while patients with HFpEF ( $n = 528$ ) had significantly lower NT-proBNP levels than those with HFrEF ( $n = 1142$ ), the relationship between increasing NT-proBNP levels and prognosis did not differ between the two populations.<sup>41</sup> These results suggest that NT-proBNP is a valuable prognostic tool in HFpEF patients, and that increasing NT-proBNP values can be indicative of worse prognosis in these patients. Based on the above evidences, the following recommendations were proposed:

1. NT-proBNP provides the same important prognostic information in HFpEF as in HFrEF.
2. NT-proBNP levels are lower in HFpEF patients than in HFrEF. However, the increased NT-proBNP levels in HFpEF should also be taken as a marker of increased risk of adverse outcomes.

#### 5. NP-GUIDED HF THERAPY

The use of NPs to guide treatment decision in stable HFrEF patients has been investigated recently. In a meta-analysis including 11 small randomized trials involving 2000 patients, Troughton et al<sup>42</sup> evaluated the effect of NP-guided HF therapy

using either BNP or NT-proBNP and compared with usual care. The results showed that, in patients aged <75 years, all-cause mortality was significantly lower in the NP-guided treatment group than in the usual care group; this difference was not seen in patients aged  $\geq$ 75 years.<sup>42</sup> Additionally, the risk of hospitalization due to HF or CV disease was also significantly lower in the NP-guided treatment group than in the usual care group, regardless of age.<sup>42</sup> The Guiding Evidence Based Therapy Using Biomarker Intensified Treatment in Heart Failure (GUIDE-IT) study was a randomized controlled trial with larger cohort to evaluate whether the results from previous smaller studies could be confirmed.<sup>43</sup> Patients with HFrEF ( $n = 894$ ) with NT-proBNP values >2000 pg/mL or BNP >400 pg/mL in the previous 30 days were randomized to either biomarker-guided therapy (ie, where HF therapy was titrated with the goal of achieving NT-proBNP <1000 pg/mL) or to usual care in accordance with current HF guidelines. The trial was terminated early due to a lack of significant difference between the groups for the primary outcome of time-to-first HF hospitalization or CV mortality. However, the achieved drug doses for HF in GUIDE-IT were not different between the two groups and there were also similar proportions of patients in each treatment group who achieved the target NT-proBNP level of <1000 pg/mL at 12 months.<sup>43</sup> In a previous single-center study in which HF patients received either biomarker-guided therapy or usual care, patients in the biomarker-guided group demonstrated a 44% decrease in NT-proBNP over the study period, compared with just 5% in the usual care group, with significantly fewer CV events in the biomarker-guided group.<sup>44</sup> One reason for the variation between these study results is that there may be differences in the control group in terms of how usual care is defined. In the GUIDE-IT study, patients in the usual care group attended more study-related clinic visits and had more HF therapy adjustments than would typically occur in standard clinical practice.<sup>43</sup> The results of GUIDE-IT do not appear to impact the prognostic value of assessing NT-proBNP in stable HF patients. More importantly, these data demonstrated that, in clinical practice, NT-proBNP values can be used as a tool to help overcome physician inertia and enhance up-titration of HF medications in stable HF patients, especially for those who are treated in the outpatient setting. A more recent meta-analysis including the GUIDE-IT showed no significant difference between the NP-guided group vs guideline-directed group in all-cause mortality and HF hospitalization. Subgroup analysis suggested that NP-guided treatment was associated with decreased all-cause hospitalizations in younger patients (<72 years).<sup>45</sup>

#### 6. ASIAN DATA

The cut-off point values recommended in this article are mainly based on the data from Europe and North America. Few studies have been conducted to evaluate whether NT-proBNP levels vary significantly between Western and Asian populations. One study conducted in healthy individuals from the USA and Vietnam found that American participants had a marginally higher median concentration of NT-proBNP than the Vietnamese participants (28 vs 16 ng/L, respectively;  $p < 0.001$ ).<sup>46</sup> However, the authors observed that the NT-proBNP values for both groups were substantially below the values required for diagnostic or prognostic thresholds for HF, therefore, concluding that the difference in values was not clinically significant.<sup>46</sup> A recent study involving patients from Singapore and New Zealand demonstrated that NT-proBNP was more accurate for Asian than Western population in diagnosis of acute decompensated HF due to the lower average age of HF presentation in Asian countries.<sup>47</sup> Another study also showed that NT-proBNP was equally prognostic for HF patients in both Asian and Caucasian



populations.<sup>48</sup> However, further studies are necessary to confirm the utility of these recommendations, particularly with regards to the use of NT-proBNP to guide treatment in stable HF patients. Although there are no diagnostically or prognostically important differences in NT-proBNP levels between Asian and Western HF populations reported in the literature, further studies specifically for Asian patients would be beneficial for confirming the validity of the cut-off values recommended in this article.

In conclusion, NPs, specifically NT-proBNP, have demonstrated prognostic value for patients with either HFrEF or HFpEF and who are either hospitalized or are outpatients. Moreover, evidence from clinical trials has enabled the elucidation of NT-proBNP cut-off levels to help determine which patients are at increased risk of poor outcomes in different clinical settings.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The consensus meeting was held by Roche Diagnostics Asia Pacific Pte Ltd and this study was supported by Roche Diagnostics Asia Pacific Pte Ltd.

## REFERENCES

- Ponikowski P, Anker SD, AlHabib KF, Cowie MR, Force TL, Hu S, et al. Heart failure: preventing disease and death worldwide. *ESC Heart Fail* 2014;1:4–25.
- Mozaffarian D, Benjamin EJ, Go AS, Arnett DK, Blaha MJ, Cushman M, et al. Heart disease and stroke statistics—2016 update: a report from the American Heart Association. *Circulation* 2016;133:e38–360.
- Lam CS, Teng TK, Tay WT, Anand I, Zhang S, Shimizu W, et al. Regional and ethnic differences among patients with heart failure in Asia: the Asian sudden cardiac death in heart failure registry. *Eur Heart J* 2016;37:3141–53.
- Dokainish H, Teo K, Zhu J, Roy A, AlHabib KF, ElSayed A, et al.; INTER-CHF Investigators. Heart failure in Africa, Asia, the Middle East and South America: the INTER-CHF study. *Int J Cardiol* 2016;204:133–41.
- Atherton JJ, Hayward CS, Wan Ahmad WA, Kwok B, Jorge J, Hernandez AF, et al.; ADHERE International–Asia Pacific Scientific Advisory Committee. Patient characteristics from a regional multicenter database of acute decompensated heart failure in Asia Pacific (ADHERE International-Asia Pacific). *J Card Fail* 2012;18:82–8.
- Huxley RR, Barzi F, Woo J, Giles G, Lam TH, Rahimi K, et al.; Asia Pacific Cohort Studies Collaboration. A comparison of risk factors for mortality from heart failure in Asian and non-Asian populations: an overview of individual participant data from 32 prospective cohorts from the asia-pacific region. *BMC Cardiovasc Disord* 2014;14:61.
- Kang SM, Cho MC. Prognostic factors in hospitalization for heart failure in Asia. *Heart Fail Clin* 2015;11:543–50.
- Reyes EB, Ha JW, Firdaus I, Ghazi AM, Phrommintikul A, Sim D, et al. Heart failure across Asia: same healthcare burden but differences in organization of care. *Int J Cardiol* 2016;223:163–7.
- Blair JE, Huffman M, Shah SJ. Heart failure in North America. *Curr Cardiol Rev* 2013;9:128–46.
- Januzzi JL, van Kimmenade R, Lainchbury J, Bayes-Genis A, Ordonez-Llanos J, Santalo-Bel M, et al. NT-proBNP testing for diagnosis and short-term prognosis in acute destabilized heart failure: an international pooled analysis of 1256 patients: the international collaborative of NT-proBNP study. *Eur Heart J* 2006;27:330–7.
- Fonarow GC, Peacock WF, Phillips CO, Givertz MM, Lopatin M; ADHERE Scientific Advisory Committee and Investigators. Admission B-type natriuretic peptide levels and in-hospital mortality in acute decompensated heart failure. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2007;49:1943–50.
- Cleland JG, McMurray JJ, Kjekshus J, Cornel JH, Dunselman P, Fonseca C, et al.; CORONA Study Group. Plasma concentration of amino-terminal pro-brain natriuretic peptide in chronic heart failure: prediction of cardiovascular events and interaction with the effects of rosuvastatin: a report from CORONA (controlled rosuvastatin multinational trial in heart failure). *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2009;54:1850–9.
- Paulus WJ, Tschöpe C, Sanderson JE, Rusconi C, Flachskampf FA, Rademakers FE, et al. How to diagnose diastolic heart failure: a consensus statement on the diagnosis of heart failure with normal left ventricular ejection fraction by the heart failure and echocardiography associations of the European society of cardiology. *Eur Heart J* 2007;28:2539–50.
- Valle R, Aspromonte N, Feola M, Milli M, Canali C, Giovannazzo P, et al. B-type natriuretic peptide can predict the medium-term risk in patients with acute heart failure and preserved systolic function. *J Card Fail* 2005;11:498–503.
- Anand IS, Rector TS, Cleland JG, Kuskowski M, McKelvie RS, Persson H, et al. Prognostic value of baseline plasma amino-terminal pro-brain natriuretic peptide and its interactions with irbesartan treatment effects in patients with heart failure and preserved ejection fraction: findings from the I-PRESERVE trial. *Circ Heart Fail* 2011;4:569–77.
- Yan J, Gong SJ, Li L, Yu HY, Dai HW, Chen J, et al. Combination of B-type natriuretic peptide and minute ventilation/carbon dioxide production slope improves risk stratification in patients with diastolic heart failure. *Int J Cardiol* 2013;162:193–8.
- Huelsmann M, Neuhold S, Resl M, Strunk G, Brath H, Francesconi C, et al. PONTIAC (NT-proBNP selected prevention of cardiac events) in a population of diabetic patients without a history of cardiac disease): a prospective randomized controlled trial. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2013;62:1365–72.
- Ledwidge M, Gallagher J, Conlon C, Tallon E, O'Connell E, Dawkins I, et al. Natriuretic peptide-based screening and collaborative care for heart failure: the STOP-HF randomized trial. *Jama* 2013;310:66–74.
- Vasile VC, Jaffe AS. Natriuretic peptides and analytical barriers. *Clin Chem* 2017;63:50–8.
- Maisel AS, Duran JM, Wettersten N. Natriuretic peptides in heart failure: atrial and B-type natriuretic peptides. *Heart Fail Clin* 2018;14:13–25.
- Chen Y, Burnett JC Jr. Biochemistry, therapeutics, and biomarker implications of neprilysin in cardiorenal disease. *Clin Chem* 2017;63:108–15.
- Yandle TG, Richards AM. B-type natriuretic peptide circulating forms: analytical and bioactivity issues. *Clin Chim Acta* 2015;448:195–205.
- Apple FS, Panteghini M, Ravkilde J, Mair J, Wu AH, Tate J, et al.; Committee on Standardization of Markers of Cardiac Damage of the IFCC. Quality specifications for B-type natriuretic peptide assays. *Clin Chem* 2005;51:486–93.
- Wang CC, Chang HY, Yin WH, Wu YW, Chu PH, Wu CC, et al. TSOC-HFrEF Registry: a registry of hospitalized patients with decompensated systolic heart failure: description of population and management. *Acta Cardiol Sin* 2016;32:400–11.
- Youn YJ, Yoo BS, Lee JW, Kim JY, Han SW, Jeon ES, et al.; KorHF Registry. Treatment performance measures affect clinical outcomes in patients with acute systolic heart failure: report from the Korean Heart Failure Registry. *Circ J* 2012;76:1151–8.
- Ponikowski P, Voors AA, Anker SD, Bueno H, Cleland JGF, Coats AJS, et al.; ESC Scientific Document Group. 2016 ESC guidelines for the diagnosis and treatment of acute and chronic heart failure: the task force for the diagnosis and treatment of acute and chronic heart failure of the European society of cardiology (ESC) developed with the special contribution of the heart failure association (HFA) of the ESC. *Eur Heart J* 2016;37:2129–200.
- Yancy CW, Jessup M, Bozkurt B, Butler J, Casey DE Jr, Colvin MM, et al. 2017 ACC/AHA/HFSA focused update of the 2013 ACCF/AHA Guideline for the Management of Heart Failure: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Clinical Practice Guidelines and the Heart Failure Society of America. *Circulation* 2017;136:e137–61.
- Pascual Figal DA, Cerdán Sánchez MC, Noguera Velasco JA, Casas Pina T, Muñoz Gimeno L, García Rodríguez R, et al. Usefulness of ntpbnp in the emergency management of patients with severe dyspnea and an uncertain heart failure diagnosis. *Rev Esp Cardiol* 2005;58:1155–61.
- Salah K, Kok WE, Eurlings LW, Bettencourt P, Pimenta JM, Metra M, et al. A novel discharge risk model for patients hospitalised for acute decompensated heart failure incorporating N-terminal pro-B-type natriuretic peptide levels: a European collaboration on acute decompensated heart failure: ELAN-HF score. *Heart* 2014;100:115–25.
- Bayes-Genis A, Pascual-Figal D, Fabregat J, Domingo M, Planas F, Casas T, et al. Serial NT-proBNP monitoring and outcomes in outpatients with decompensation of heart failure. *Int J Cardiol* 2007;120:338–43.
- McQuade CN, Mizus M, Wald JW, Goldberg L, Jessup M, Umscheid CA. Brain-type natriuretic peptide and amino-terminal pro-brain-type natriuretic peptide discharge thresholds for acute decompensated heart failure: a systematic review. *Ann Intern Med* 2017;166:180–90.

32. Pascual-Figal DA, Domingo M, Casas T, Gich I, Ordoñez-Llanos J, Martínez P, et al. Usefulness of clinical and NT-proBNP monitoring for prognostic guidance in destabilized heart failure outpatients. *Eur Heart J* 2008;29:1011–8.
33. Masson S, Latini R, Anand IS, Barlera S, Angelici L, Vago T, et al.; Val-HeFT Investigators. Prognostic value of changes in N-terminal pro-B-type natriuretic peptide in val-heft (valsartan heart failure trial). *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2008;52:997–1003.
34. Zile MR, Claggett BL, Prescott MF, McMurray JJ, Packer M, Rouleau JL, et al. Prognostic implications of changes in N-terminal pro-B-type natriuretic peptide in patients with heart failure. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2016;68:2425–36.
35. McKelvie RS, Yusuf S, Pericak D, Avezum A, Burns RJ, Probstfield J, et al. Comparison of candesartan, enalapril, and their combination in congestive heart failure: randomized evaluation of strategies for left ventricular dysfunction (RESOLVD) pilot study. the RESOLVD pilot study investigators. *Circulation* 1999;100:1056–64.
36. Frantz RP, Olson LJ, Grill D, Moualla SK, Nelson SM, Nobrega TP, et al. Carvedilol therapy is associated with a sustained decline in brain natriuretic peptide levels in patients with congestive heart failure. *Am Heart J* 2005;149:541–7.
37. Tsutamoto T, Wada A, Maeda K, Mabuchi N, Hayashi M, Tsutsui T, et al. Effect of spironolactone on plasma brain natriuretic peptide and left ventricular remodeling in patients with congestive heart failure. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2001;37:1228–33.
38. Fruhwald FM, Fahrleitner-Pammer A, Berger R, Leyva F, Freemantle N, Erdmann E, et al. Early and sustained effects of cardiac resynchronization therapy on N-terminal pro-B-type natriuretic peptide in patients with moderate to severe heart failure and cardiac dyssynchrony. *Eur Heart J* 2007;28:1592–7.
39. Kaplinsky E. Sacubitril/valsartan in heart failure: latest evidence and place in therapy. *Ther Adv Chronic Dis* 2016;7:278–90.
40. Lam CSP, Gamble GD, Ling LH, Sim D, Leong KTG, Yeo PSD, et al. Mortality associated with heart failure with preserved vs. reduced ejection fraction in a prospective international multi-ethnic cohort study. *Eur Heart J* 2018;39:1770–80.
41. Kang SH, Park JJ, Choi DJ, Yoon CH, Oh IY, Kang SM, et al.; KorHF Registry. Prognostic value of NT-proBNP in heart failure with preserved versus reduced EF. *Heart* 2015;101:1881–8.
42. Troughton RW, Frampton CM, Brunner-La Rocca HP, Pfisterer M, Eurlings LW, Erntell H, et al. Effect of B-type natriuretic peptide-guided treatment of chronic heart failure on total mortality and hospitalization: an individual patient meta-analysis. *Eur Heart J* 2014;35:1559–67.
43. Felker GM, Anstrom KJ, Adams KF, Ezekowitz JA, Fiuzat M, Houston-Miller N, et al. Effect of natriuretic peptide-guided therapy on hospitalization or cardiovascular mortality in high-risk patients with heart failure and reduced ejection fraction: a randomized clinical trial. *Jama* 2017;318:713–20.
44. Januzzi JL Jr, Rehman SU, Mohammed AA, Bhardwaj A, Barajas L, Barajas J, et al. Use of amino-terminal pro-B-type natriuretic peptide to guide outpatient therapy of patients with chronic left ventricular systolic dysfunction. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2011;58:1881–9.
45. Khan MS, Siddiqi TJ, Usman MS, Sreenivasan J, Fugar S, Riaz H, et al. Does natriuretic peptide monitoring improve outcomes in heart failure patients? A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Int J Cardiol* 2018;263:80–7.
46. Gaggin HK, Dang PV, Do LD, deFilippi CR, Christenson RH, Lewandrowski EL, et al. Reference interval evaluation of high-sensitivity troponin T and N-terminal B-type natriuretic peptide in vietnam and the US: the north south east west trial. *Clin Chem* 2014;60:758–64.
47. Ibrahim I, Kuan WS, Frampton C, Troughton R, Liew OW, Chong JP, et al. Superior performance of N-terminal pro brain natriuretic peptide for diagnosis of acute decompensated heart failure in an Asian compared with a Western setting. *Eur J Heart Fail* 2017;19:209–17.
48. Tromp J, Richards AM, Tay WT, Teng TK, Yeo PSD, Sim D, et al. N-terminal pro-B-type natriuretic peptide and prognosis in Caucasian vs. Asian patients with heart failure. *ESC Heart Fail* 2018;5:279–87.